STIP GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ.		
State Bar Number 7358		
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
(702) 868-8866 Attorney for CHAVEZ-MACIAS		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA		
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff,	) ) )    Case No.: 2:09-CR-00302-GMN-PAL	
,	) Case No.: 2.09-CK-00302-GWIN-FAL	
vs.	, ) ) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
JUAN CARLOS MACIAS-CHAVEZ, JOSE ROSALES,	) CALENDAR CALL AND TRIAL DATE ) (FIFTH REQUEST)	
Defendant.	) <u>1</u>	
Certification: This stipulation is filed purs	uant to General Order 2007-04.	
IT IS STIPLII ATED between the defendant ILIAN CARLOS MACIAS CHAVE		
	GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ. State Bar Number 7358 231 South Third Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 868-8866 Attorney for CHAVEZ-MACIAS  UNITED STATE IN AND FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  vs.  JUAN CARLOS MACIAS-CHAVEZ, JOSE ROSALES,  Defendant.  Certification: This stipulation is filed purs	

IT IS STIPULATED between the defendant JUAN CARLOS MACIAS-CHAVEZ through his attorney GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ., co-defendant JOSE ROSALES through his attorney TODD M. LEVENTHAL, ESQ. and the United States of America, through BRADLEY GILES, Assistant United States Attorney, that the calendar call currently scheduled for January 3, 2011 at the hour of 8:30 a.m. and the jury trial currently scheduled for January 11, 2011, at the hour of 8:30 a.m., be vacated and continued 60 days, or to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation is entered into pursuant to General Order 2007-04 and based upon the following:

- 1. There have been FOUR (4) previous continuances granted to the defense in this case.
- 2. Defense counsel for MACIAS-CHAVEZ was retained to represent the defendant in this case on April 28, 2010 after TWO (2) continuances had already been granted to previous counsel. The complexity of this case, as well as additional concerns regarding retained counsel, require this stipulation by the parties to this case.

- 3. Previous attempts at a negotiated settlement have not been successful.

  Undersigned counsel will continue to seek a settlement as well as prepare for trial, therefore additional time is required.
- 4. Currently, preparation for trial is underway, and the extensive wiretap evidence requires further scrutiny by the defense. The wiretaps are in Spanish, which further complicates the process. The defense has not completed the wiretap investigation in this case.
- 5. Moreover, undersigned defense counsel has been informed by the defendant's family that no further funds will be forthcoming to pay the fee agreed to in this case. With the prospect of a lengthy jury trial, Undersigned counsel will be requesting a hearing before this Court on the issue of withdrawal.
- 6. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant sufficient time to be able to prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 7. Also, denial of this request or continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 8. Defense Counsel has discussed this matter of a continuance with his client who is in agreement with the contents of the stipulation.
- 9. Todd M. Leventhal, counsel for co-defendant, JOSE ROSALES, concurs in this request for continuance of the trial in this case.
- 10. For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the calendar call and trial date would best serve the ends of justice in this case.
- 11. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 12. This is the fourth request for a continuance of the calendar call and trial date in this case.

Case 2:09-cr-00302-APG-PAL Document 109 Filed 12/01/10 Page 3 of 5

1	DATED this day o	of November, 2010.
2		
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:	
4		
5	<u>/s/</u> BRADLEY GILES, ESQ.	<u>/s/</u> GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ.
6	Assistant United States Attorney	Attorney for Defendant
7		<u>/s/</u> TODD M. LEVENTHAL, ESQ.
8		TODD M. LEVENTHAL, ESQ. Attorney for Co-Defendant
9		·
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5 6	STIP GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ. State Bar Number 7358 231 South Third Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 868-8866 Attorney for CHAVEZ-MACIAS  UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
7	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plaintiff, vs.  JUAN CARLOS MACIAS-CHAVEZ, JOSE ROSALEZ,  Defendant.	Case No.: 2:09-CR-00302-GMN-PAL  STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  CALENDAR CALL AND TRIAL DATE  (FIFTH REQUEST)	
16	FINDINGS OF FACT		
17 18 19	Based upon the submitted Motion by the defense, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Government in this case.  2. The defense needs addition	recordings provided in discovery by the onal time to adequately prepare for trial, A see defense to adequately and effectively	
	1		

- represent the defendant in this case as well as sufficiently explore the possibility of a negotiated settlement.
- Undersigned counsel requires additional time to settle the matter of his 3. continued representation of the defendant in this case and will be setting a hearing to address this issue.
- This stipulation complies with General Order 2007-04. 4.

24

25

26

27

28

27

28

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defense herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- For all of the above stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date, and such continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant to a speedy trial.
- 4. The additional time requested by the stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

## **ORDER**

Having read Defendant's Stipulation to Continue Calendar Call and Trial Date (Fifth Request), and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby DENIES said request.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 1st day of December, 2010.

Gloria M. Navarro

United States District Judge